


Criminal Notice of Appeal - Form A

NOTICE OF APPEAL

United States District Court

Southern District of New York



Caption:

United States

v.

Turkiye Halk Bankasi A.S.

Docket No.: S6 15 Cr. 867 (RMB)

Hon. Richard M. Berman

(District Court Judge)

Notice is hereby given that Defendant Turkiye Halk Bankasi A.S. appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit from the judgment _____, other ☒ order denying dismissal on sovereign immunity grounds (specify) entered in this action on October 1, 2020, see attachment. (date)

This appeal concerns: Conviction only ☐ Sentence only ☐ Conviction & Sentence ☐ Other ☒

Defendant found guilty by plea ☐ trial ☐ N/A ☒

Offense occurred after November 1, 1987? Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐

Date of sentence: _____ N/A ☒

Bail/Jail Disposition: Committed ☐ Not committed ☐ N/A ☒

Appellant is represented by counsel? Yes ☒ No ☐ If yes, provide the following information:

Defendant's Counsel: Robert M. Cary, Williams & Connolly LLP

Counsel's Address: 725 Twelfth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005

650 Fifth Ave., Suite 1500, New York, New York 10019

Counsel's Phone: (202) 434-5000

Assistant U.S. Attorney: Michael D. Lockard

AUSA's Address: One Saint Andrew's Plaza

New York, New York 10007

AUSA's Phone: (212) 637-2193

Robert M. Cary / ISA
Signature

Court Name: District Court
Division: 1
Receipt Number: 465401267998
Cashier ID: Swooten
Transaction Date: 10/09/2020
Payer Name: TURKIYE HALK BANKASI A.S.

NOTICE OF APPEAL/DOCKETING FEE
For: TURKIYE HALK BANKASI A.S.
Amount: \$505.00

CREDIT CARD

Amt Tendered: \$505.00

Total Due: \$505.00
Total Tendered: \$505.00
Change Amt: \$0.00

15CR000867

ATTACHMENT TO TÜRKİYE HALK BANKASI A.Ş.'S NOTICE OF APPEAL

Türkiye Halk Bankasi A.Ş.'s notice of appeal dated October 9, 2020, covers the Order of this Court, entered in this case on October 1, 2020 (ECF No. 674), to the extent that the Order denied Defendant's motion to dismiss on grounds of foreign sovereign immunity and asserted subject matter jurisdiction, and from all interlocutory orders inextricably intertwined with or necessary to ensure meaningful review of the immediately appealable issue. This appeal arises from the same district court action that is the subject of the pending mandamus petition docketed in the Second Circuit as Case No. 20-3008.